

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,227	KURTZ, ANDREW D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy C. Vanoy	1754	

All Participants:

(1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(2) Mr. Philip Kiefer, applicant's attorney.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 March 2007

Time: 1:30 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to label Figure 1 as "Prior Art".

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Timothy C Vanoy

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)